International Journal of Latest Engineering and Management Research (IJLEMR)
ISSN: 2455-4847
www.ijlemr.com || Volume 02 - Issue 12 || December 2017 || PP. 70-80

A Study on $ca-$Domination of Cartesian product of a Class of Path Semigraphs

N. Murugesan[1], D. Narmatha[2]
[1](*Mathematics, Government Arts College, Coimbatore, India)*
[2](*Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Coimbatore, India)*

Abstract: In this paper, we study $ca-$domination number of the cartesian product of some simple path semigraphs.
Keywords: Cartesian Product, Domination number, $ca-$Domination number , Path Semigraph, Semigraph.

1. Introduction

A semigraph S is a pair (V, X) where V is a nonempty set whose elements are called vertices of S and X is a set of ordered n-tuples $n \geq 2$ of distinct vertices called edges of S satisfying the following conditions :

i. any two edges have at most one vertex in common.
ii. two edges $E_1 = (u_1, u_2, ...u_m)$ and $E_2 = (v_1, v_2, ...v_n)$ are said to be equal iff
a. $m = n$ and
b. either $u_i = v_i$ or $u_i = v_{n-i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Semigraphs are introduced by E. Sampath kumar [8] in the year 2000, since then it has been an interesting field of research in graph theory. B. D. Acharya [1] discussed construction of semigraph from square matrices. B. Y. Bam and N. S. Bhave [2] have studied different types of degree sequences in semigraphs. S. P. Subbiah [9] have studied the relationship between topologies and discrete semigraphs.

There are different types of adjacency defined between two vertices in a semigraph. Two vertices u and v in a semigraph S are said to be $ca-$adjacent if they are adjacent and consecutive in order as well. The basic concepts relating semigraphs are discussed in [4]. The properties of various types of adjacencies between vertices are deeply discussed in [5].

A subset D of V is said to be $ca-$dominating set if for every $v \in V - D$ there exists an vertex $u \in D$ such that u and v are consecutively adjacent. The minimum cardinality of such a set D is called $ca-$domination number of the semigraph S. It is denoted as $\gamma_{ca}(S)$.

A path semigraph $S = (V, X)$ is a semigraph with the following properties.

i. it has no middle – end vertices.
ii. it has exactly two end vertices each with edge degree one.
iii. the edge degree of all other end vertices (if they exist) are exactly two.

Note that a path semigraph with no middle vertices is simply a path. The certain energies of path semigraphs have been studied in [6]. Checteristic polynomial of path semigraphs have been studied in [7]. In this paper, we discuss the $ca-$domination number of cartesian product of path semigraphs.

2. Cartesian Product of Path Semigraphs

Let $S_1 = (V_1, X_1)$ and $S_2 = (V_2, X_2)$ be two semigraphs. The Cartesian product of S_1 and S_2 denoted by $S_1 \square S_2$ is defined as follows :

Vertex set of $S_1 \square S_2$ is $V_1 \times V_2$ and the edge set is as given below:

For any vertex $u \in V_1$ and any edge $E = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_r)$ in X_2, $((u, v_1), (u, v_2), ..., (u, v_r))$ is an edge in $S_1 \square S_2$. Also, for any edge $E = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_s)$ in X_1 and for any vertex $v \in V_2$, $((u_1, v), (u_2, v), ..., (u_s, v))$ is an edge in $S_1 \square S_2$.

It has been discussed that Cartesian product of two semigraphs is also a semigraph [3].

2.1. Example

Consider the following two semigraphs.



Fig. 2.1 two semigraphs S_1 , S_2

The cartesian product of the above two semigraphs is given in fig. 2.2.



Fig. 2.2 cartesian product $S_1 \square S_2$

In this paper, we study the $ca-$domination number of the cartesian product of simple path semigraphs. A simple path semigraph means, the path semigraph in which every edge contains exactly one middle vertex . A simple path semigraph with n edges is represented by $P_{s(n)}$. In this paper, we study $ca-$domination number of $P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(m)}$, for $n = 1, 2, ...$ and $m = 1,2,3,\ldots,7$.

2.2 Lemma

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}] = 3$

ii. $$\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(1)}] = \begin{cases} 3\left(\dfrac{n-2}{2}\right)+4 \ \ if \ \ n = 2k+2 \\ 3\left(\dfrac{n-3}{2}\right)+6 \ \ if \ \ n = 2k+3 \\ k = 0,1,2,\ldots \end{cases}$$

Proof :

Let $P_{s(1)}$ represents the simple path semigraph having exactly one middle vertex. The cartesian product $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}$, is given in the following fig.2.3.

Fig. 2.3 $P_{s(1)}$

The vertex set and edge set of the cartesian product $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}$ is

$$V = \{ (u_1,v_1),(u_2,v_1),(u_3,v_1), \ (u_1,v_2),(u_2,v_2),(u_3,v_2), \ (u_1,v_3),(u_2,v_3),(u_3,v_3) \}$$

$$E = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\,(u_1,v_1),(u_2,v_1),(u_3,v_1)\,],\ [\,(u_1,v_2),(u_2,v_2),(u_3,v_2)\,],\ [\,(u_1,v_3),(u_2,v_3),(u_3,v_3)\,], \\ [\,(u_1,v_1),(u_1,v_2),(u_1,v_3)\,],\ [\,(u_2,v_1),(u_2,v_2),(u_2,v_3)\,],\ [\,(u_3,v_1),(u_3,v_2),(u_3,v_3)\,] \end{array} \right\}$$

In the fig. 2.2 (u_2,v_2) is the only middle vertex, and (u_2,v_1), (u_1,v_2), (u_3,v_2), (u_2,v_3) are middle-end vertices, and (u_1,v_1), (u_3,v_1), (u_1,v_3), (u_3,v_3) are end vertices.

Fig.2.4 shows the cartesian products of $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}$ and $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(1)}$.



Fig. 2.4 $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}$; $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(1)}$

First let $k = 0$, the vertex set $\{(u_3,v_1),(u_3,v_3),(u_1,v_2)\}$ form a minimal $ca-$dominating set for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}$. Hence, $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(1)}] = 3$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), let us take $n = 2k+2, k = 0,1,2,..$

The minimal $ca-$dominating set is $D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+1} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+1} \left(u_{4l+1}, v_2\right) \end{array} \right\}$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= 2(k+1)+k+2 \\ &= 3k+4 \\ &= 3\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)+4 \end{aligned}$$

Let $n = 2k+3; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. The minimal $ca-$dominating set is

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+2} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+1} \left(u_{4l+1}, v_2\right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= 2(k+2)+k+2 \\ &= 3k+6 \\ &= 3\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)+6 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem.

2.3. Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(2)}] = 4$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(2)}] = 7$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(2)}] = \begin{cases} 5\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)+10 & if\ \ n = 2k+3 \\ 5\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)+12 & if\ \ n = 2k+4 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof :

The vertex set $\{(u_2,v_1),(u_2,v_5),(u_1,v_3),(u_3,v_3)\}$ and $\{(u_3,v_1),(u_3,v_3),(u_3,v_5),(u_1,v_2),(u_5,v_2),(u_1,v_4),(u_5,v_4)\}$ are the minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(2)}$, $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(2)}$ respectively. This proves (i) and (ii).

To prove (iii), let us take $n = 2k+3, k = 0,1,2,..$

The minimal $ca-$dominating set is $D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+2} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1,}\ v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+1} \left(u_{4l+1,}\ v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4. \end{array} \right\}$

Now

$$|D| = 3(k+2) + 2(k+2)$$
$$= 5k+10$$
$$= 5\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)+10$$

Let $n = 2k+4; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. The minimal $ca-$dominating set is

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+2} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1,}\ v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+2} \left(u_{4l+1,}\ v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4 \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence

$$|D| = 3(k+2) + 2(k+3)$$
$$= 5k+12$$
$$= 5\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)+12$$

Hence the theorem.

2.4 Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(3)}] = 6$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(3)}] = 10$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(3)}] = 14$

iv. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(3)}] = \begin{cases} 7\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)+17 & if \ n = 2k+4 \\ 7\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right)+21 & if \ n = 2k+5 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof:

The vertex set $\{(u_2,v_1),(u_2,v_5),(u_1,v_3),(u_3,v_3),(u_1,v_7),(u_3,v_7)\}$ and $\{(u_2,v_1),(u_4,v_1),(u_1,v_3),(u_3,v_3),(u_5,v_3),(u_2,v_5),(u_4,v_5),(u_1,v_7),(u_3,v_7),(u_5,v_7)\}$ are minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(3)}$, $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(3)}$ respectively. This proves (i) and (ii).

The vertex set

$$\left\{\begin{matrix}(u_3,v_1),(u_7,v_1),(u_1,v_2),(u_5,v_2),(u_3,v_3),(u_7,v_3),(u_1,v_4),(u_5,v_4),(u_3,v_5),(u_7,v_5), \\ (u_1,v_6),(u_5,v_6),(u_3,v_7),(u_7,v_7)\end{matrix}\right\}$$

is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(3)}$. This proves (iii).

To prove (iv), let us take $n = 2k+4, k = 0,1,2,..$. Here

$$D = \left\{\begin{matrix}\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^{k+2}\left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5,7\right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+2}\left(u_{4l+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4,6.\end{matrix}\right\}$$

Now

$$|D| = 3(k+3)+4(k+2)$$
$$= 7k+17$$
$$= 7\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)+17$$

Let $n = 2k+5; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. Here

$$D = \left\{\begin{matrix}\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^{k+3}\left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5,7\right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+2}\left(u_{4l+1}, v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4,6\end{matrix}\right\}$$

Hence

$$|D| = 3(k+3)+4(k+3)$$
$$= 7k+21$$
$$= 7\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right)+21$$

Hence the theorem.

2.5 Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(4)}] = 7$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(4)}] = 12$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(4)}] = 17$

iv. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(4)}] = 22$

v. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(4)}] = \begin{cases} 9\left(\dfrac{n-5}{2}\right)+27 & if\ n = 2k+5 \\ 9\left(\dfrac{n-6}{2}\right)+31 & if\ n = 2k+6 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof:

The vertex set $\{(u_2,v_1),(u_2,v_5),(u_1,v_3),(u_3,v_3),(u_1,v_7),(u_3,v_7),(u_2,v_9)\}$ form a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(4)}$ respectively. This proves (i).

The vertex set $\{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 2,4,\ j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 1,3,5\ ,\ j = 3,7\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(4)}$. This proves (ii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,\ j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7\ ,\ j = 3,7\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(4)}$. This proves (iii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,8\ ,\ j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i,v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,,9\ ,\ j = 3,7\}$

is a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(4)}$. This proves (iv).

To prove (iv), let us take $n = 2k+5, k = 0,1,2,..$ Here

$$D = \begin{Bmatrix} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+3} (u_{2(2l-1)+1,}\, v_j) / j = 1,3,5,7,9 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+3} (u_{4l+1,}\ v_j) / j = 2,4,6,8. \end{Bmatrix}$$

Now

$$|D| = 4(k+3) + 5(k+3)$$
$$= 9k + 27$$
$$= 9\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right) + 27$$

Let $n = 2k+6; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. Here

$$D = \begin{Bmatrix} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+3} (u_{2(2l-1)+1,}\, v_j) / j = 1,3,5,7,9 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+3} (u_{4l+1,}\ v_j) / j = 2,4,6,8 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Hence

$$|D| = 4(k+4) + 5(k+3)$$
$$= 9k + 31$$
$$= 9\left(\frac{n-6}{2}\right) + 31$$

Hence the theorem.

2.6 Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(5)}] = 9$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(5)}] = 15$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(5)}] = 21$

iv. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(5)}] = 27$

v. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(5)}] = 33$

vi. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(5)}] = \begin{cases} 11\left(\frac{n-6}{2}\right)+38 & if \; n = 2k+6 \\ 11\left(\frac{n-7}{2}\right)+44 & if \; n = 2k+7 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof:

The vertex set $\{(u_2, v_j) / j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3, \; j = 3,7,11\}$ form a minimal $ca-$ dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(5)}$ respectively. This proves (i).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j) / i = 2,4, \; j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3,5, \; j = 3,7,11\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(5)}$. This proves (ii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j) / i = 2,4,6, \; j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3,5,7, \; j = 3,7,11\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(5)}$. This proves (iii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j) / i = 2,4,6,8, \; j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3,5,7,9, \; j = 3,7,11\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(5)}$. This proves (iv).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j) / i = 2,4,6,8,10, \; j = 1,5,9\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3,5,7,9,11, \; j = 3,7,11\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(5)}$. This proves (v).

To prove (vi), let us take $n = 2k+6, k = 0,1,2,..$ Here

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+3} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1,} v_j\right) / \; j = 1,3,5,7,9,11 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+3} \left(u_{4l+1,} v_j\right) / \; j = 2,4,6,8,10. \end{array} \right\}$$

Now

$$|D| = 5(k+4) + 6(k+3)$$

$$= 11k + 38$$

$$= 11\left(\frac{n-6}{2}\right) + 38$$

Let $n = 2k+7; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. Here

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+4} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j\right) / \; j = 1,3,5,7,9,11 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+3} \left(u_{4l+1}, v_j\right) / \; j = 2,4,6,8,10. \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= 5(k+4) + 6(k+4) \\ &= 11k + 44 \\ &= 11\left(\frac{n-7}{2}\right) + 44 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem.

2.7 Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 10$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 17$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 24$

iv. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 31$

v. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 38$

vi. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(6)} \square P_{s(6)}] = 45$

vii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(6)}] = \begin{cases} 13\left(\frac{n-7}{2}\right) + 52 & if \;\; n = 2k+7 \\ 13\left(\frac{n-8}{2}\right) + 58 & if \;\; n = 2k+8 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof:

The vertex set $\left\{\left(u_2, v_j\right) / \; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / \; i = 1,3 \;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$ form a minimal $ca-$ dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(6)}$ respectively. This proves (i).

The vertex set $\left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 2,4 \;, \;\; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 1,3,5 \;\;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(6)}$. This proves (ii).

The vertex set $\left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 2,4,6, \;\; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 1,3,5,7 \;\;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(6)}$. This proves (iii).

The vertex set $\left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 2,4,6,8 \;, \;\; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 1,3,5,7,9 \;\;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(6)}$. This proves (iv).

The vertex set $\left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 2,4,6,8,10 \;, \;\; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 1,3,5,7,9,11 \;\;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$ is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(6)}$. This proves (v).

The vertex set
$\left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 2,4,6,8,10,12 \;, \;\; j = 1,5,9,13\right\} \& \left\{\left(u_i, v_j\right) / i = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13 \;\;, \; j = 3,7,11\right\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(6)} \square P_{s(6)}$. This proves (vi).

To prove (vii), let us take $n = 2k + 7, k = 0,1,2,..$ Here

$$D = \begin{cases} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+7} \left(u_{2l}, v_j \right) / \ j = 1,5,13,19 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+7} \left(u_{2l+1}, v_j \right) / \ j = 3,7,11. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= 8(k+3) + 4(k+7) \\ &= 13k + 52 \\ &= 13\left(\frac{n-7}{2}\right) + 52 \end{aligned}$$

Let $n = 2k+8; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. Here

$$D = \begin{cases} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+4} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1}, v_j \right) / \ j = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+4} \left(u_{4l+1}, v_j \right) / \ j = 2,5,9,...,(4k+1). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= 6(k+5) + 7(k+4) \\ &= 13k + 58 \\ &= 13\left(\frac{n-8}{2}\right) + 58 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem.

2.8 Theorem

i. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 12$

ii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 20$

iii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 28$

iv. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 36$

v. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 44$

vi. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(6)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 52$

vii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(7)} \square P_{s(7)}] = 60$

viii. $\gamma_{ca}[P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(7)}] = \begin{cases} 15\left(\frac{n-8}{2}\right) + 67 & if \ \ n = 2k+8 \\ 15\left(\frac{n-9}{2}\right) + 75 & if \ \ n = 2k+9 \\ k = 0,1,2,... \end{cases}$

Proof:

The vertex set $\{(u_2, v_j) / j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j) / i = 1,3, \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$ form a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(1)} \square P_{s(7)}$ respectively. This proves (i).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4 \ , \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$

is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(2)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (ii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4,6, \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(3)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (iii).

The vertex set $\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,8 \ , \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7,9 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(4)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (iv).

The vertex set
$\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,8,10 \ , \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7,9,11 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(5)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (v).

The vertex set
$\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,8,10,12 \ , \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(6)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (vi).

The vertex set
$\{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 2,4,6,8,10,12 \ , \ j = 1,5,9,13\} \& \{(u_i, v_j)/ i = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15 \ , \ j = 3,7,11,15\}$
is a a minimal $ca-$dominating sets for $P_{s(7)} \square P_{s(7)}$. This proves (vii).

To prove (viii), let us take $n = 2k + 8, k = 0,1,2,..$ Here

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+4} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1,} v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+4} \left(u_{4l+1,} v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4,6,8,10,12,14. \end{array} \right\}$$

Now

$$|D| = 8(k+4) + 7(k+5)$$
$$= 15k + 67$$
$$= 15\left(\frac{n-8}{2}\right) + 67$$

Let $n = 2k + 9; k = 0,1,2,3,...$. Here

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \bigcup_{l=1}^{k+5} \left(u_{2(2l-1)+1,} v_j\right) / \ j = 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15 \right\} \\ \\ \bigcup_{l=0}^{k+4} \left(u_{4l+1,} v_j\right) / \ j = 2,4,6,8,10,12,14. \end{array} \right\}$$

Hence

$$|D| = 8(k+5) + 7(k+5)$$
$$= 15k + 75$$
$$= 15\left(\frac{n-9}{2}\right) + 75$$

Hence the theorem.

3. Conclusion

It has been interesting fact to study $ca-$domination number of $P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(m)}$.

In this paper, it has been discussed the $ca-$domination number of cartesian product graphs $P_{s(n)} \square P_{s(m)}$, m=1,2,…,7 but for all values of n.

References

[1]. E. Sampathkumar, Semigraphs and their Applications, *Report on the DST project*, submitted to DST, India, May 2000.
[2]. B. D. Acharya, Semigraphic Matrices and Matrix Semigraph, Private Communication.
[3]. B. Y. Bam and N. S. Bhave, *On Some Problems of Graph Theory in Semigraphs*, Ph.D Thesis, University of Pune.
[4]. S. P. Subbaih, *A Study of Graph Theory: Topology, Steiner Domination and Semigraph Concepts*, Ph.D Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, 2007.
[5]. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addition Wesley, Reading Mass, 1972.
[6]. N. Murugesan and D. Narmatha, Some properties of Semigraph and its Associated Graphs, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, Vol. 3(5), 2014, 898-903.
[7]. N. Murugesan and D. Narmatha, e and n – Energies of Linear Semigraph, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 3(11), 2014, 174-178.
[8]. N. Murugesan and D. Narmatha, Charecteristic Polynomial of r-adjacency matrix of Path Semigraph, *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 5(7), 2016, 1111-1119.
[9]. S. Gomathi, *Studies in Semigraphs and Domination*, Ph.D Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, 2008.