

A Novel approach for Control of Distributed Power Generation Systems during Grid Faults Condition

Gayathri Reddy.A¹, RajaReddy.Duvvuru²

¹PG Schlor,EEE,Sree Vidyanikethan Enineering College, India

²Ph.D Schlor ,Electrical Department,JNTU Anantapur,India

ABSTRACT: The increasing penetration of distributed power generation into the power system leads to a continuous evolution of grid interconnection requirements. In particular, active power control will play an important role both during grid faults (low-voltage ride-through capability and controlled current injection) and in normal conditions (reserve function and frequency regulation). The aim of this paper is to propose a flexible active power control based on a fast current controller and a reconfigurable reference current selector. Several strategies to select the current reference are studied and compared using experimental results that are obtained during an unsymmetrical voltage fault. The results of the analysis allow selection of the best reference current in every condition. The proposed methods facilitate multiple choices for fault ride through by simply changing the reference selection criteria.

KEYWORDS: Control strategies, distributed power generation systems (DPGSs), fault ride through, grid faults, positive and negative sequences, power control, wind turbines (WTs).

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, distributed power generation systems (DPGSs) based on renewable energies contribute more and more to the total amount of energy production on the globe. Wind turbines (WT) as well as photovoltaic (PV) systems are seen as reliable energy sources that should be further exploited in order to achieve maximum efficiency and overcome the increasing power demand in the world. These systems are no longer regarded as engineering issues, but they are widely recognized as reliable systems, which can have a large share in energy production in the future. The possibility of energy production using a clean technology makes both WT and PV systems very attractive, but the control of these systems is a challenge due to the uncertainty in the availability of the input power [1]. Moreover, the increased amount of distributed systems that are connected to the utility network can create instability in the power systems, even leading to outages. In order to maintain a stable power system in countries with a large penetration of distributed power, transmission system operators issue more stringent demands regarding the interconnection of the DPGS to the utility grid [2]–[4]. Among the new demands, power generation systems are requested to ride through grid disturbances and to provide ancillary services in order to behave as a conventional power plant, and hence to have the capability of sustaining the utility network in the situation of a fault. Therefore, the grid fault influence on the control of DPGS needs to be investigated. This paper discusses some possible control strategies that a DPGS can adopt when running on faulty grid conditions. First, a classification of the grid faults is presented, followed by some consideration of the control strategy when an unbalanced fault takes place in the grid. Then, the control strategies that are investigated are further described, followed by the presentation of the algorithm that is used for detecting the positive and negative sequences of the grid voltage. Finally, experimental results are presented in order to verify the theory of each control strategy.

II. GRID FAULT CONSIDERATIONS

Input power variations due to meteorological conditions and grid-voltage variation due to connection and disconnection of loads challenge the ability of WTs to provide constant output power at the point of common coupling (PCC). Investigations for compensating these oscillations using different energy storage systems such as flywheels [5], [6] or appealing to power quality compensation units such as dynamic voltage restorers [7], [8] have been investigated. One of the newly introduced requests for interconnecting WT systems to the utility network is stressing their ability to ride through short grid disturbances, such as voltage and frequency variations [3], [4]. As a consequence, the low-voltage ride-through feature of WT systems has become of high interest nowadays. With respect to the grid-voltage variation, the grid faults can be classified in two main types [9].

1.Balanced fault: when all three grid voltages register the same drop/swell amplitude but the system remains balanced. The occurrence of this type of fault is extremely rare in power systems.

2.Unbalanced fault: when the three grid voltages register unequal drop/swell amplitudes. Usually, phase shift

between the phases also appears in this situation. This type of fault occurs due to one or two phases that are shorted to ground or to each other. In [9] and [10], a detailed description of unbalanced grid faults is given, and five types of unbalanced faults are distinguished. One interesting fact is that the distribution transformer.




fig.1.

Distributed generation system that is connected through a Δ trans-former to the utility network

Usually of type Δy , also has influence on how the grid fault appears at DPGS terminals. According to [10], all unbalanced grid faults create both uneven voltage magnitudes and phase angle jumps at DPGS terminals, when such a transformer is used. For example, considering the DPGS that is connected to the utility network, as shown in Fig. 1, where a distribution transformer is used by the generation system to interface to the utility network, the voltage fault occurring at bus 1 appears different at bus 2. If a severe grid fault like a single phase that is shorted to ground takes place at bus 1, the voltage amplitude at the DPGS terminals (after the transformer) will have a magnitude that is dependent on two impedance values Z_g and Z_f , and the transformer type. Characteristic to the unbalanced fault is the appearance of the negative-sequence component in the grid voltages. This gives rise to double-frequency oscillations in the system, which is reflected as ripple in the dc-link voltage and output power [11], [12]. Such oscillations can lead to a system trip if the maximum dc-link voltage is exceeded and can also have a negative influence on the control of the grid converter, e.g., producing a non sinusoidal current reference that considerably deteriorates the power quality and may even trip the over current protections. As a consequence, the influence of grid faults on the control of DPGSs needs to be investigated.

III. FAULT CONTROL IN GRID AND MICRO-GRID

Owing to the appearance of a negative sequence and amplitude drop in the grid voltages, the current magnitude that is delivered by the DPGS to the grid will rise considerably for the same amount of delivered power. Moreover, a negative-sequence current will appear, flowing uncontrollably through the power converter of the distribution system. In this sense, in [13], an approach based on a series inverter that is connected at the PCC and controlled as current limiting impedance is proposed as a solution to limit the current rising inside the micro-grid. Additionally, in [14], it is argued that the switching of loads in micro-grids can cause relatively high transients in the voltage, and it is suggested that all power generation units should support the grid during such a disturbance in order to return to normal operating conditions. Moreover, the bandwidth of communication signals between the DPGS that is connected to the micro-grid proves to be a big impediment for achieving proper implementation of such decentralized control an improved solution, which bypasses this problem using variations in output impedance of each distributed system, is proposed in [15].




fig. 2. Diagram of the laboratory setup that is used to test the proposed control strategies when running on faulty grid conditions




fig.3. Schematic of the proposed control structure when DPGS is running on faulty grid conditions

With respect to the negative-sequence current flowing through the grid-connected power converter, in [16] and [17], the implementation of a dual current controller, with one for the positive-sequence current and one for the negative-sequence current, has been discussed, and improved results are noticed when the negative-sequence current is also controlled. It should be noticed here that the complexity of the controller is doubled in this case, and an algorithm for detection of both positive- and negative-sequence components is necessary. As can be observed in [18], the ripple of the dc-link voltage still has influence on the reference currents, leading to non-sinusoidal current injection, but in [19], the authors discuss the possibility of controlling the negative-sequence current in a way that cancels out the oscillation in the dc-link voltage. Again, the positive- and negative-sequence components of the voltage must be identified, but noticeable in this case is the lack of double-frequency oscillations in the dc-link voltage. This paper investigates the control possibilities of a DPGS when running on unbalanced grid faults. For simplicity, only the grid-side converter is considered here. The input power source and its control are disregarded. Hence, the investigated control strategies can be applied to a variety of DPGSs. Additionally, the dc-link voltage controller is not included in the control strategy. The design of this controller when the DPGS operates on a faulty grid is beyond the purpose of this paper. Fig. 2 depicts a schematic of the proposed control, emphasizing the algorithm for positive- and negative-sequence detection and the control strategy block. As shown in [20], there are different ways to structure the grid converter control, and here, the implementation in a $\alpha\beta$ stationary reference frame using a dead beat current controller has been selected. The main contribution of this paper is the creation of current references depending on the selected control strategy, as Fig. 3 illustrates. The next section provides a deep insight into the investigated strategies for generating the current references, and analytical equations are provided and discussed. These strategies can be an add-on feature to the basic control structures of grid-connected power generation systems [20] in order to facilitate different options when running through grid disturbances. As there are five different methods that are derived for generating current references the work in this paper is limited to active current/power control only, with reactive power control being disregarded [25].

IV. CURRENT CONTROL STRATEGIES WHEN RUNNING ON GRID FAULTS

Instantaneous active power p , which is delivered by a three-phase inverter to the grid, depends on the voltage vector in the PCC $v = (v_a, v_b, v_c)$ and on the injected current vector in this point $i = (i_a, i_b, i_c)$, such that

$$P = v \cdot i \quad (1)$$

where \cdot represents the dot product. Therefore, for a given voltage vector, there exist infinite current vectors, which are able to deliver exactly the same instantaneous active power to the grid. In this paper, it has been assumed that the energy source supplying power through the inverter exhibits slow dynamics, and hence, the active power reference will be considered a constant throughout each grid-voltage cycle. However, conclusions from this study are also valid for those cases in which the reference for the instantaneous active power could experience oscillations throughout a grid period, e.g., when active filtering functionality is implemented in the inverter. The next paragraphs propose five different strategies for generating inverter reference currents in order to deliver to the grid active power $p = P^1$. In these strategies, it has been assumed that no reactive power should be injected to the grid ($q^1 = 0$).

A. Instantaneous Active Reactive Control (IARC)

The most efficient set of currents delivering instantaneous active power P to the grid can be calculated as follows [21]: under grid faults, however, the negative-sequence component gives rise to oscillations at twice the fundamental frequency in $|v|$. Consequently, the injected currents will not keep their sinusoidal waveform, and high-order components will appear in their waveform. A current vector of (2) is instantaneously proportional to the voltage vector and therefore does not have any orthogonal component in relation to the grid voltage; hence, it gives rise to the injection of no reactive power to the grid. Instantaneous power theories [21], [23] allow for identifying active and reactive components of the current for power delivery optimization, making reactive currents equal zero. However, when the quality of the current that is injected into the unbalanced grid becomes a major issue, it is necessary to establish certain constraints about what the current sequence components and harmonics should be, even knowing that power delivery efficiency will decrease.

B. Instantaneously Controlled Positive-Sequence (ICPS)

The instantaneous active power that is associated with an unbalanced current $i = i^+ + i^-$, which is injected at the where superscripts “+” and “-” denote the three-phase sinusoidal positive- and negative-sequence signals, respectively. From (3), the positive-sequence current can be instantaneously controlled to deliver active power P by imposing the following constraints in the current reference calculation:

$$v \cdot i_p^+ = P \tag{2}$$

$$v \cdot i_p^{*-} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) makes negative-sequence current components equal zero, whereas the positive-sequence current reference can be calculated from (4) as follows:

$$g^+ = P / (v^{+2} + v^+ \cdot v^{-}) \tag{4}$$

$$i_p^* = i_p^{*+} + i_p^{*-} = g^+ v^+ \tag{5}$$

According to the p-q theory [21], the instantaneous reactive power that is associated with the current vector is given by is capable of detecting the voltage-sequence components under unbalanced operating conditions should be added to the control system. The description of the algorithm that is used in this paper is further presented in Section V.




fig. 4. Graphical representation of voltage and current vectors on a positive-negative-sequence Euclidean plane for different control strategies

C. Discussion

The positive- and negative-sequence vectors that are studied in this section can be considered to be orthogonal, as seen over one grid period, and represented on a Euclidean plane R^2 since their scalar product throughout the grid period is always equal to zero, i.e. This graphic representation of voltage and current vectors is shown in Fig. 4 and allows better understanding of previous strategies for current reference generation. For better visualization and understanding, only current vectors from the IARC, ICPS, and PNSC strategies have

been represented in Fig. 4. The amplitude of all three current vectors is delimited by the equipower line, which is perpendicular to v . The amplitude of oscillations in the instantaneous reactive power that is associated with each strategy q is proportional to the projection of its current vector over the equipower line. Current vectors from the AARC and BPS strategies have the same direction as those vectors from the IARC and ICPS strategies, respectively. However, their amplitudes are not instantaneously controlled, generating oscillations in the active power. None of these strategies give rise to a finite mean value in the reactive power that is injected to the grid.

V. DETECTION OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE SEQUENCES

Precise characterization of the grid voltage is a crucial issue in order to have full control over the power that is delivered from the DPGS to the grid. In this paper, such characterization is performed by means of a positive-negative-sequence voltage detector based on a second-order generalized integrator (SOGI) [24]. where ω_0 is the SOGI resonance frequency. If $x = X \sin(\omega t + \phi)$, the SOGI acts as an ideal integrator when $\omega = \omega_0$. Therefore, the closed-loop diagram that is shown in Fig. 6 gives rise to a second-order band-pass filter (BPF) whose transfer function.




Fig.(5),(6) Structure of the SOGI, Band pass filter with SOGI

VI. EVALUATION OF CONTROL STRATEGIES

A. Experimental System

The aforementioned control strategies have been implemented in an experimental setup comprising a pulse-width-modulation-driven voltage source inverter replaced here by a programmable three-phase ac power source, in order to be able to create grid faults. A control structure developed in the stationary reference frame ($\alpha\beta$) using a deadbeat current controller is implemented in a d-Space 1103 card and an LC filter ($L = 10$ mH and $C = 0.7$ μ F per phase) that is connected through a Δy transformer to the grid. The grid is due to the limitation of the ac power source in sinking power, a resistive local load has been connected to the system, as shown in Fig. 2. The dc link voltage controller has been omitted in order not to influence the creation of the current references, and as a consequence, dc power sources are used to supply the necessary dc-link voltage, which is set to 650 V.

B. Fault Conditions

The power system schematic that is illustrated in Fig. 9 has been used as background consideration to obtain a fault condition that can be further used in the laboratory for testing the proposed control strategies. The DPGS is considered to be connected through a step-up Δy transformer to the medium voltage level (30 kV) at bus 2. The distance between bus 2 and the transmission level of 110 kV is about 10 km. An unbalanced grid fault (single phase to ground) has been considered to occur in bus 2 at a distance of 7.7 km from the PCC. Considering that the characteristic impedance of the power lines in the medium voltage is the same for both 10- and 7.7-km lines, the distance at which the fault is taking place has a major influence on the voltage magnitude at the DPGS terminals. In the situation of a single-phase-to-ground fault, it can be considered that the current that is injected by the distribution system is considerably smaller as compared to the short circuit current flowing through the faulted line.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to validate the aforementioned proposed control strategies, the ac power source has been programmed to produce the voltages that are illustrated in Fig. 10 at the terminals of the grid converter in the laboratory. In the following, the grid current as well as the output power waveforms are presented for each control strategy.




fig.7. Power system that is considered to model a single-phase-to-ground fault







fig.8. Voltages at the DPGS terminals in the situation of a single-phase ground fault in the power system




(a)



(a)



(b)



(b)

fig. 9. Experimental results in the case of a single-phase-to-ground fault using ICPS: (a) grid currents and (b) active and reactive powers that are delivered to the utility network

fig. 10. Experimental results in the case of a single-phase-to-ground fault using AARC (a) grid currents and (b) the active and reactive powers that are delivered to the utility network

C. Positive-Negative-Sequence Control

fig.11 illustrates the grid currents and active and reactive powers that are delivered to the utility grid when BPSC is used. As the meaning of this control is to inject negative-sequence current in order to cancel the oscillations in active power, no oscillations can be observed in the active-power waveform. The grid currents have a sinusoidal waveform in this case, but they are unbalanced. One advantage of this control is that one can estimate the peak current during the fault as opposed to the two previously discussed strategies. Large oscillations on the reactive-power waveform can be noticed in this situation due to the interaction between the negative-sequence active current with the positive-sequence voltage and the positive-sequence active current with the negative-sequence voltage.

D. Average Active Reactive Control

As opposed to the preceding control strategy, in the case of AARC, the reactive power registers no oscillations, but active power exhibits large oscillations at double the fundamental frequency due to the oscillations in $|v|^2$ in (15). As shown in fig.10. the grid currents are again sinusoidal but unbalanced; moreover, they are monotonously proportional with the voltage since G is a constant in (13).

E. Balanced Positive-Sequence Control

Fig. 11 shows the current and power waveforms in the case of BPSC control .As only the positive-sequence component of the grid voltage is used for calculating the grid current references




fig.11. Experimental results in the case of a single-phase-to-ground fault using BPSC: (a) grid currents and (b) active and reactive powers that are delivered to the utility network

The currents are sinusoidal and balanced. Noticeable in this case are the oscillations at double the fundamental frequency in both the active and reactive powers, due to the interaction between the positive-sequence current and negative-sequence voltage, as (17) and (18) anticipate.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The aim of this paper was to investigate several methods that deal with the control of DPGS in the case of unbalance conditions that are caused by faults in the utility grid. The results of the analysis allow for the design of a flexible active power controller that is capable of adapting itself to the fault situation and is reconfigurable in case the grid requirements change. In particular, it has been proven that, during unbalance conditions, it is possible to obtain zero active and reactive power oscillations only by accepting highly distorted currents. However, an intermediate solution allows having sinusoidal grid currents compensating for the oscillation in the active power only, while oscillations are present in the reactive one. It has been proven that the DPGS can be a very flexible power producer that is able to work in constant current, constant active power, or constant reactive power modes depending on the grid fault type and the utility network necessity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

GAYATHRI REDDY, A received the B.Tech degree in electrical engineering from the ASCEW, Gudur, 2014 and Presently She is pursuing M.Tech in SVEC. She is interested area in Micro Grid and FACTS Controllers.

RAJAREDDY, DUVVURU received the B.Tech degree in electrical engineering from the NEC, Gudur in 2009 and M.Tech degrees in electrical engineering from the SITAMS, Chittoor in 2011 and He is Currently Pursuing Ph.D from JNTU Anantapur and also working in JNTU Pulivendula in Electrical Engineering Department. He is a member of the IAENG. His research interests include power Quality improvement, FACTS Controllers and integration of distributed energy systems.

REFERENCES

- [1]. F. Blaabjerg, Z. Chen, and S. Kjaer, "Power electronics as efficient inter-face in dispersed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Elec-tron.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1184–1194, Sep. 2004.
- [2]. J. Carrasco, L. Franquelo, J. Bialasiewicz, E. Galvan, R. PortilloGuisado, M. Prats, J. Leon, and N. Moreno-Alfonso, "Power-electronic systems for the grid integration of renewable energy sources: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1002–1016, Jun. 2006.
- [3]. Eltra and Elkraft. (2004). Wind turbines connected to grids with voltage below 100 kV. [Online]. Available: <http://www.eltra.dk>
- [4]. "Grid code-high and extra high voltage," E.ON Netz GmbH, 2006. Tech. Rep. [Online]. Available: <http://www.eon-netz.com/Ressources/downloads/ENENARHS2006eng.pdf>
- [5]. R. Cardenas, R. Pena, M. Perez, J. Clare, G. Asher, and P. Wheeler, "Power smoothing using a flywheel driven by a switched reluctance machine," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1086–1093, Jun. 2006.
- [6]. G. Cimuca, C. Saudemont, B. Robyns, and M. Radulescu, "Control and performance evaluation of a flywheel energy-storage system associated to a variable-speed wind generator," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1074–1085, Jun. 2006.
- [7]. D. Vilathgamuwa, H. Wijekoon, and S. Choi, "A novel technique to compensate voltage sags in multiline distribution system—The interline dynamic voltage restorer," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1603–1611, Oct. 2006.
- [8]. M. I. M. Montero, E. R. Cadaval, and F. B. Gonzalez, "Comparison of control strategies for shunt active power filters in three-phase four-wire systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 229–236, Jan. 2007.
- [9]. M. H. J. Bollen, *Understanding Power Quality Problems: Voltage Sags and Interruptions*. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE Press, 2002.
- [10]. G. Saccomando, J. Svensson, and A. Sannino, "Improving voltage dis-turbance rejection for variable-speed wind turbines," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 422–428, Sep. 2002.
- [11]. L. Moran, P. Ziogas, and G. Joos, "Design aspects of synchronous PWM rectifier-inverter systems under unbalanced input voltage con-ditions," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1286–1293, Nov./Dec. 1992.
- [12]. M. Liserre, C. Klumpner, F. Blaabjerg, V. Monopoli, and A. Dell'Aquila, "Evaluation of the ride-through capability of an active-front-end ad-justable speed drive under real grid conditions," in *Proc. IECON*, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 1688–1693
- [13]. L. Moran, P. Ziogas. Evaluation of the ride-through capability of an active-front-end ad-justable speed drive under real grid conditions," in *Proc. IECON*, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 1688–1693
- [14]. D. Vilathgamuwa, P. Loh, and Y. Li, "Protection of microgrids during utility voltage sags," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1427– 1436, Oct. 2006.
- [15]. M. Prodanovic and T. Green, "High-quality power generation through distributed control of a power park microgrid," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1471–1482, Oct. 2006.
- [16]. J. Guerrero, J. Matas, L. Garcia De Vicunagarcia De Vicuna, M. Castilla, and J. Miret, "Wireless-control strategy for parallel operation of distributed-generation inverters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1461–1470, Oct. 2006.
- [17]. H.-S. Song and K. Nam, "Dual current control scheme for PWM converter under unbalanced input voltage conditions," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 953–959, Oct. 1999.
- [18]. G. Saccomando and J. Svensson, "Transient operation of grid-connected voltage source converter under unbalanced voltage conditions," in *Proc. IAS*, Chicago, IL, 2001, vol. 4, pp. 2419–2424.
- [19]. A. Sannino, M. Bollen, and J. Svensson, "Voltage tolerance testing of three-phase voltage source converters," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1633–1639, Apr. 2005.

- [20]. A. Stankovic and T. Lipo, "A novel control method for input output harmonic elimination of the PWM boost type rectifier under unbalanced operating conditions," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 603–611, Sep. 2001.
- [21]. F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and A. Timbus, "Overview of control and grid synchronization for distributed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1398–1409, Oct. 2006.
- [22]. H. Akagi, Y. Kanazawa, and A. Nabae, "Instantaneous reactive power compensator comprising switching devices without energy storage components," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. IA-20, no. 3, pp. 625–630, 1984.
- [23]. M. Baumann and J. Kolar, "A novel control concept for reliable operation of a three-phase three-switch buck-type unity-power-factor rectifier with integrated boost output stage under heavily unbalanced mains condition," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 399–409, Apr. 2005.
- [24]. M. Depenbrock, V. Staudt, and H. Wrede "A theoretical investigation of original and modified instantaneous power theory applied to four-wire systems" *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* vol.39, no. 4, pp.1160–1168, Jul./Aug-03